arXiv:1611.03962v1 [math.AG] 12 Nov 2016

PRIMITIVE FORMS FOR GEPNER SINGULARITIES

ANDREI IONOV

Abstract. We apply the technique of [5] to construct Saito primitive forms for Gepner singularities.

1. Intoduction

The *Gepner singularity* $G_{k,n}$ is the quotient of the singularity of $F_{k,n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^k$ at the origin by the action of S_n by the permutation of coordinates.

The interest to the Gepner singularities appeared after the isomorphism of the chiral ring of a $SU(n+1)_{k-n-1}/(SU(n)_{k-n} \times U(1))$ Kazama-Suzuki model, the Milnor ring of the Gepner singularity $G_{k,n}$ and the cohomology ring of the Grassmanian $\mathrm{Gr}(n,k)$ were established in [8]. The further explorations of the relation between the Gepner singularities and topological conformal field theories (TCFTs) continued in [15], [9].

All three sides of the isomorphism of [8] admit the natural deformations equipped with a structure of Frobenius manifold: deformations by Witten's descent ([6]) for chiral rings of TCFTs, Saito structure for Milnor rings of singularity ([12]) and quantum cohomology for cohomology rings. It appears that the Frobenius structure of quantum cohomology of Grassmanian is not isomorphic to the other two structures even in the simple cases. However, in [6] it was proved that the Saito structure for the singularity z^{k+1} is isomorphic to the Frobenius manifold for the $SU(2)_k/U(1)$ Kazama-Suzuki model (also known as minimal models). This leads to a natural conjecture of relation between a Saito structure for Gepner singularity and the Witten's descent deformations of chiral ring of Kazama-Suzuki model formulated in [3]. More precisecly, there should be a certain Saito primitive form providing Frobenius manifold isomorphic to the one coming from the Witten's descent deformations. Further studies of Saito primitive forms for Gepner singularities and corresponding Frobenius structures continued in [1], [2], [4], [13].

In the current paper we explore the relation between Saito structures for the Gepner singularity and for $F_{k,n}$. It is a natural singularity theory analog of one of the results of [5] relating quantum cohomology of the

Grassmanian $\mathrm{Gr}(n,k)$ and the product of projective spaces $(\mathbb{P}^{k-1})^{\times n}$. In particular, we adopt the technique of [5] to provide a construction of Saito primitive forms for Gepner singularity.

Remarkably, the relation we study should also impose a relation between the $SU(n+1)_{k-n-1}/(SU(n)_{k-n}\times U(1))$ Kazama-Suzuki model and the tensor product of n copies of the minimal $SU(2)_k/U(1)$ model, which is to be investigated in forthcoming works.

1.1. **Acknowledgements.** The author is grateful to A. Belavin, Y. Kononov, T. Milanov, S. Natanzon and K. Saito for the useful discussions. The study has been funded by the Russian Academic Excellence Project '5-100'. The author was supported in part by Dobrushin stipend and grant RFBR 15-01-09242.

1.2. **Conventions and notations.** We will work over an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0.

2. Gepner singularities

Let us fix two positive integers n and k and let $F_{k,n}=\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k$ be a polynomial in variables $\mathbf{x}=(x_1,\dots,x_n)$. Let us define $\mathbf{y}=(y_1,\dots,y_n)$ by $y_i=\sigma_i(\mathbf{x})$, where σ_i is the i-th elementary symmetric function in n variables, so that

$$1+\sum_{i=1}^n y_iT^i=\prod_{i=1}^n(1+x_iT).$$

Since the polynomial $F_{k,n}$ is symmetric, there is a polynomial $G_{k,n}$, such that $F_{k,n}(x_1,\dots,x_n)=G_{k,n}(y_1,\dots,y_n)$. It is not hard to verify that:

Proposition 2.1. *([8],[13]) If $k>n$ then $G_{k,n}$ has an isolated singularity at the origin $\mathbf{y}=0$. The dimension of its Milnor ring $J_{G_{k,n}}$ is equal to $\binom{k-1}{n}$.*

In what follows we will assume that $k>n$. We will call this singularity a *Gepner singularity*.

3. Frobenius manifolds

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{k}$ and let $M=Spf(\mathbf{k}[[V^\vee]])$, where $V^\vee$ is the dual vector space, be its formal completion at the origin, so that the functions on M are formal series in $V^\vee$. We denote by T_M its tangent sheaf which is canonically isomorphic to $V\otimes\mathcal{O}_M$.

Definition 3.1. *([7],[10]) The formal Frobenius manifold is the following data: $(M, \bullet, g, e, \mathcal{E})$, where*

1) g is a $\mathbf{k}$-linear nondegenerate pairing on T_M such that the corresponding connection ∇ is flat;

2) $\bullet$ is $\mathbf{k}$-linear, associative, commutative product on T_M and such that ∇c is symmetric where c is the tensor defined as $c(u, v, w) = g(u \bullet v, w)$;

3) e is a formal vector field on M, which is the identity for $\bullet$ and such that $\nabla e = 0$;

4) $\mathcal{E}$ is a formal vector field on M, which is called an Euler vector field, and satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}} g = Dg, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}(\bullet) = \bullet, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}(e) = -e,$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ denote the Lie derivative and $D \in \mathbf{k}$ is a constant.

For further discussions of the notion we refer to [7], [10].

4. Pre-Saito structures and primitive forms

In the next two sections we follow the exposition of Sections 2.2 and 2.3 in [5].

Definition 4.1. *We will call pre-Saito structure the following data: $(M, E, g, \nabla, \Phi, R_0)$, where*

1) M is a formal completion at the origin of a finite-dimensional vector space V over $\mathbf{k}$;

2) E is a free $\mathcal{O}_M$-module of finite rank with a flat connection ∇ and $\mathcal{O}_M$-bilinear form g flat with respect to ∇;

3) Φ and R_0 are $\mathcal{O}_M$-linear morphisms $\Phi\colon T_M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} E \to E$ and $R_0\colon E \to E$,

satisfying the conditions

$$\nabla_{\partial_{t_i}} \Phi_{\partial_{t_j}} = \nabla_{\partial_{t_j}} \Phi_{\partial_{t_i}}, \ \ [\Phi_{\partial_{t_j}}, \Phi_{\partial_{t_i}}] = 0, \ \ [R_0, \Phi_{\partial_{t_i}}] = 0,$$

$$\Phi_{\partial_{t_i}} + \nabla_{\partial_{t_i}} R_0 = 0, \ \ \Phi^*_{\partial_{t_i}} = \Phi_{\partial_{t_i}}, \ \ R_0^* = R_0,$$

where $*$ stands for g-adjoint, $\{t_i\}$ are the coordinates on M induced by a basis of V and $\Phi_\xi\colon E \to E$ is the map obtained by the substitution of the section ξ of T_M into Φ.

Definition 4.2. *A section $\omega \in \Gamma(M, E)$ is called a primitive form if it is flat: $\nabla(\omega) = 0$ and the morsphism $\phi_\omega\colon T_M \to E$ given by $\xi \mapsto \Phi_\xi(\omega)$ is an isomorphism.*

Given a pre-Saito structure $(M, E, g, \nabla, \Phi, R_0)$ and a primitive form ω for it one constructs a structure of Frobenius manifold on M by taking

${}^{\omega}\nabla := \phi_{\omega}^{-1}\nabla\phi_{\omega}$, $\xi \bullet \eta := -\Phi_{\xi}(\phi_{\omega}(\eta))$, $e := \phi_{\omega}^{-1}(\omega)$, $\mathcal{E} := \phi_{\omega}^{-1}(R_0(\omega))$, ${}^{\omega}g(\xi,\eta) := g(\phi_{\omega}(\xi),\phi_{\omega}(\eta))$.

4.3. **Example: Saito primitive forms.** ([12], [14]) Let $f \in k[\mathbf{z}]$ be a polynomial with an isolated singularity at the origin and let M_f be the formal neighborhood of f in the space of its versal deformations. Let $\widetilde{f} \in k[\mathbf{z},\mathbf{t}]$ be the universal unfolding of f, where $\mathbf{t}$ are the parameters of versal deformation (so that $M_f = Spf(\mathbf{k}[[\mathbf{t}]])$). The Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism identifies the tangent sheaf of M_f and the Milnor sheaf associated to the $\mathcal{O}_{M_f}$-module $k[\mathbf{z}][[\mathbf{t}]]/(\partial_{z_i}\widetilde{f})$.

Let $E := \Omega_{\widetilde{f}} = \Omega^{\mathrm{top}}/d\widetilde{f}\wedge$ be a vector bundle over M_f of the relative forms of the top degree for the projection $M_f \times Spec(\mathbf{k}[\mathbf{z}]) \to M_f$ modulo the image of multiplication by $d\widetilde{f}$. It has a bilinear form coming from the residue pairing. This gives a natural pre-Saito strcucture on M_f. Primitive forms for it always exists but, in general, is not unique. We will call them Saito primitive forms.

If $f = z^{k+1}$ then $dz \in \Gamma(M_{z^{k+1}}, \Omega_{\widetilde{z^{k+1}}})$ is the unique (up to scalar multiplication) primitive form. We will call the corresponding Frobenius manifold A_k.

5. Frobenius manifold with finite group action

Let $(M, \bullet, g, e, \mathcal{E})$ be a Frobenius manifold and let W be a finite group acting on M by automorphisms in a way compatible with Frobenius structure.

Let us consider the fixed point set of the W-action M^W. Then M^W is a smooth subscheme of M, W acts $\mathcal{O}_{M^W}$-linearly on $T_M|_{M^W}$ and $T_{M^W} = (T_M|_{M^W})^W$.

Let us fix a non-trivial character $\mathrm{sgn}\colon W \to \pm 1$ and consider the corresponding antisymmetrization morphism $a\colon T_M|_{M^W} \to T_M|_{M^W}$ given by $a(\xi) = \sum_{w\in W} \mathrm{sgn}(w) w\xi$. We denote its image by E. It is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_M$-module. Note that we have a g-orthogonal direct sum decomposition $T_M|_{M^W} = \ker a \oplus E$ and the restriction of g to E is nondegenerate. We denote by ∇ the restriction of the connection on $T_M|_{M^W}$ to E.

There is a natural $\mathcal{O}_M$ linear multiplication $\Phi\colon (T_M|_{M^W})^W \otimes E \to E$ coming from multiplication on T_M and the operator $R_0 := \mathcal{E}\bullet$. It is easy to check that:

Lemma 5.1. *([5], Lemma 2.3.1) The above $(M^W, E, g, \nabla, \Phi, R_0)$ is a pre-Saito structure.*

We then have:

Proposition 5.2. *([5], Proposition 2.3.2) Suppose there is a ∇-horizontal section $\omega \in \Gamma(M^W, E)$ such that the morphism $\phi_\omega \colon T_{M^W} \to E$ given by $\xi \mapsto \xi \bullet \omega$ is surjective. Then every smooth formal subscheme $N \subset M^W$ such that the restriction of the above morphism $T_N \to E$ is an isomorphism has a natural Frobenius structure.*

6. Main Construction

Consider the tensor product of Frobenius manifolds $M_{k,n} := A_{k-1}^{\otimes n}$ (see [10] for the definition). Note that the underlying space is naturally identified with $M_{F_{k,n}}$.

Remark 6.1. It follows from [11], Theorem 3.2.3, that there is a Saito primitive form for $F_{k,n}$ which gives this Frobenius manifold.

The Frobenius manifold $M_{k,n}$ naturally comes with an action of $W = S_n$ by permutation of the factors. We now apply the construction of Section 5 to it.

Proposition 6.2. *There is a section ω of E satisfying the conditions of Proposition 5.2.*

Proof. Consider an antisymmetric polynomial $w_n := \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n}(x_i - x_j)$ as an element of the Milnor ring $J_{F_{k,n}}$. Note that, since $k > n$ we have $w_n \neq 0$. It can be viewed as an element of E_0, the fiber of E at the origin. Translating it via the flat connection ∇ we obtain a flat section ω of E.

At the origin the map $\phi_\omega|_0 \colon J^W_{F_{k,n}} \to E_0$ is obviously surjective. Since we work locally at the origin it now follow from Nakayama lemma that ϕ_ω is surjective.

□

Let us now choose an appropriate for applying Proposition 5.2 subscheme $N \subset M^W_{k,n}$. We will start by the following:

Proposition 6.3. *There is a short exact sequence:*

$$0 \to \ker(w_n \cdot) \to J^W_{F_{k,n}} \to J_{G_{k,n}} \to 0, \tag{6.1}$$

where $J_{F_{k,n}}, J_{G_{k,n}}$ are the Milnor rings of the corresponding singularities and $\ker(w_n \cdot)$ is a kernel in $J^W_{F_{k,n}}$ of multiplication by $w_n = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n}(x_i - x_j)$ considered as an element of $J_{F_{k,n}}$ (cf. (3.1.2) in [5]).

Proof. The first arrow is the natural embedding. Let us construct the second arrow. Let $I_{F_{k,n}} \subset \mathbf{k}[\mathbf{x}]$ be the Milnor ideal of $F_{k,n}$, let $I^W_{F_{k,n}} \subset \mathbf{k}[\mathbf{y}]$ be its W-invariant part and let $I_{G_{k,n}} \subset \mathbf{k}[\mathbf{y}]$ be the Milnor

ideal of $G_{k,n}$. To obtain a surjective map $J^W_{F_{k,n}} \to J_{G_{k,n}}$ it is sufficient to prove that $I^W_{F_{k,n}} \subset I_{G_{k,n}}$. Note that by the chain rule we have:

$$\frac{\partial F_{k,n}}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \sigma_j(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial G_{k,n}}{\partial y_j}(\sigma(\mathbf{x})).$$

Therefore, we have $I_{F_{k,n}} \subset I_{G_{k,n}}\mathbf{k}[\mathbf{x}]$. Also, we, obviously have $I^W_{F_{k,n}}\mathbf{k}[\mathbf{x}] \subset I_{F_{k,n}}$. Thus, $I^W_{F_{k,n}}\mathbf{k}[\mathbf{x}] \subset I_{G_{k,n}}\mathbf{k}[\mathbf{x}]$ and $I^W_{F_{k,n}} \subset I_{G_{k,n}}$.

It remains to check the exactness in the middle term of the sequence. To show that the composition of the two arrows is zero it is sufficient to show that $w_n I_{G_{k,n}}\mathbf{k}[\mathbf{x}] \subset I_{F_{k,n}}$. But, the determinant of the Jacobi matrix with the entries $\frac{\partial \sigma_j(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i}$ is equal to w_n. Therefore, we have

$$w_n \frac{\partial G_{k,n}}{\partial y_j}(\sigma(\mathbf{x})) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial F_{k,n}}{\partial x_i},$$

for some polynomials $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{k}[\mathbf{x}]$ (minors of the Jacobi matrix) and the embedding $w_n I_{G_{k,n}}\mathbf{k}[\mathbf{x}] \subset I_{F_{k,n}}$ follows.

Finally, the dimension (over $\mathbf{k}$) of the cokernel of the first arrow is equal to the dimension of the antiinvariants of W in $J_{F_{k,n}}$, which is equal to the dimension of the space of the antisymmetric polynomials in $\mathbf{x}$ modulo x_i^{k-1}. And this is equal to the number of monomials of the form $x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}\dots x_n^{i_n}$ with $k-1 > i_1 > i_2 > \dots > i_n \geq 0$. By simple combinatorial calculation this is $\binom{k-1}{n}$. It is the same as the dimension of $J_{G_{k,n}}$ and the proposition follows.

□

Let us now choose a splitting of the short exact sequence (6.1) as a sequence of vector spaces: $\iota\colon J_{G_{k,n}} \to J^W_{F_{k,n}}$. The map ι naturally gives us a subscheme $N \subset M^W_{k,n}$. Since the transversal direction to N in $M^W_{k,n}$ comes from the subspace $\ker(w_n\cdot) \subset J^W_{F_{k,n}}$ it is clear from the construction of Proposition 6.2 and Nakayama lemma that:

Lemma 6.4. *The above N satisfies the conditions of Proposition 5.2.*

Summing up we obtain:

Theorem 6.5. *There is a Frobenius structure on the formal completion at the origin of a vector space $J_{G_{k,n}}$ depending on the choice of the splitting of the short exact sequence* (6.1).

7. Primitive forms for Gepner singularities

Let us fix a splitting in Theorem 6.5. In this section we prove the following result:

Theorem 7.1. *There is a Saito primitive form ζ for $G_{k,n}$ such that the induced Frobenius structure on $J_{G_{k,n}}$ is isomorphic to the Frobenius structure of Theorem 6.5.*

Proof. By Remark 6.1 there is the Saito primitive form for $F_{k,n}$ providing the Frobenius structure of $M_{k,n}$. Let us denote by $\eta = \varphi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t})dx_1\dots dx_n \in \Gamma(N,\Omega_{\widetilde{F}_{k,n}})$ its restrction to N. The S_n-symmetricity of $M_{k,n}$ implies that φ is symmetric in x_i.

Lemma 7.2. *There is an isomorphism $j\colon \Omega_{\widetilde{G}_{k,n}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^W_{\widetilde{F}_{k,n}}|_N$ preserving the residue pairings in both sides.*

Proof. We define j to be a morphism induced by the change of variables $\{\mathbf{x}\} \mapsto \{\mathbf{y}\}$. More precisely, let $\psi(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{t})dy_1\dots dy_n$ be an element of $\Omega_{\widetilde{G}_{k,n}}$ then $j(\psi(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{t})dy_1\dots dy_n) = \psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t})w_n dx_1\dots dx_n \in \Omega^a_{\widetilde{F}_{k,n}}|_N$. The map is well defined since $d\widetilde{F}_{n,k}$ equals $d\widetilde{G}_{n,k}$ on N after the change of variables. The map is clearly an isomorphism and the check of compatibility with the pairing is straightforward.

□

Consider now the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{M_{k,n}}|_N & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\phi_\eta} & \Omega_{\widetilde{F}_{k,n}}|_N \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\breve{E}|_N & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\phi_\eta} & \breve{\Omega^W_{\widetilde{F}_{k,n}}}|_N \\
{\scriptstyle\phi_\omega}\uparrow{\scriptstyle\sim} & & {\scriptstyle j}\uparrow{\scriptstyle\sim} \\
T_N & \dashrightarrow & \Omega_{\widetilde{G}_{k,n}}.
\end{array}$$

We define the lower arrow to be the composition $j^{-1}\circ\phi_\eta\circ\phi_\omega$. It is easy to see that this map is ϕ_ζ for $\zeta = \frac{\omega}{w_n}\varphi(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{t})dy_1\dots dy_n$. Moreover, it follows from the diagram and Lemma 7.2 that the Frobenius structure of Theorem 6.5 is induced by ζ. This implies the theorem. □

Remark 7.3. One can construct the corresponding element of the filtered de Rham complex (see [14] for the definition) in a similar way.

References

[1] A. Belavin, V. Belavin, "On exact solution of topological CFT models based on Kazama-Suzuki cosets", J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 49 (2016) 41LT02 (8pp).

[2] A. Belavin, V. Belavin, "Flat structures on the deformations of Gepner chiral rings", High Energ. Phys. (2016) 2016: 128.

[3] A. Belavin, D. Gepner, Y. Kononov, "Flat coordinates for Saito Frobenius manifolds and String theory", Pis'ma v Zh. Eksper. Teoret. Fiz., 103:3 (2016), 168-172.
[4] A. Belavin, L. Spodyneiko, "Flat structures on Frobenius Manifolds in the case of irrelevant deformations", arXiv:1608.02284 [hep-th].
[5] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, C. Sabbah, "The abelian/nonabelian correspondence and Frobenius manifolds", Invent. math. (2008) 171: 301.
[6] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde, H. Verlinde, "Topological strings in $d < 1$", Nuclear Physics B 352 (1), 59-86, 1991.
[7] B. Dubrovin, "Geometry of 2D topological field theories", In: Integrable systems and Quantum Groups (Montecatini Terme, 1993), Lecture Notes in Math. 1620, Springer, Berlin, 1996, 120-348.
[8] D. Gepner, "Fusion rings and geometry", Commun.Math. Phys. (1991) 141: 381.
[9] S. Gusein-Zade, A. Varchenko, "Verlinde algebras and the intersection form on vanishing cycles", A. Sel. math., New ser. (1997) 3: 79.
[10] Y. Manin, "Frobenius manifolds, Quantum cohomology and Moduli spaces", AMS Colloquium Publications, Vol. 47 (1999).
[11] Y. Manin, "Three constructions of Frobenius manifolds: a comparative study", Asian J. Math. 3 (1999), 179-220.
[12] K. Saito, "Period mapping associated to a primitive form", Publ. R.I.M.S. 19 (1983), 1231-1261.
[13] K. Saito, unpublished.
[14] K. Saito, A. Takahashi, "From primitive forms to Frobenius manifolds", From Hodge theory to integrability and TQFT tt*-geometry, 31-48, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 78, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
[15] J.-B. Zuber, "Graphs and Reflection Groups", Comm. Math. Phys. Volume 179, Number 2 (1996), 265-294.

A.I.: National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russian Federation, Department of Mathematics, 6 Usacheva st, Moscow 119048;
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology;
8916456@rambler.ru